

DA-C#  
JW  
A


IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor:	AHMAD et al.	Examiner:	Daniel L. Greene
Application No.:	09/371,462	Art Unit:	3621
Filed:	August 1, 1999	Docket No.:	ELECP014
Title:	METHOD FOR VIDEO ENABLED ELECTRONIC COMMERCE		

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in a prepaid envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

February 9, 2005.

  
Jennifer C. Gross

**PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT  
ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

Attention: Office of Petitions  
Mail Stop Petition  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION**

**1. Petition Fee**

☐ Small Entity

☒ Large Entity

☒ Enclosed is Check No. 1578 in the amount of \$2,290.00.

☒ Charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0685, (Order No.ELECP014).

**2. Reply and/or fee**

A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of Amendment F and Request for Continued Examination:

☐ has been filed.

☒ is enclosed herewith.

B. The issue fee of \$\_\_\_\_\_.

☐ has been paid previously on \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ is enclosed herewith.

02/15/2005 MAHMED1 00000072 09371462

01 FC:1453

1500.00 OP

Attorney Docket No. ELECP014

3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee

☒ Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.

☐ A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20 (d)) of \$\_\_\_\_\_ ) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith.

4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to the Office Action mailed May 10, 2004. Said action was not received by Applicants due to an oversight, which resulted in the correspondence address for the above captioned application not being updated when applicant's representatives relocated to the address indicated below. Specifically, applicant's representatives filed a change of address for their primary customer number, No. 21912, and mistakenly believed all currently active cases were associated with said customer number when in fact the present application was not.

Attached is a copy of the Interview Summary between Examiner Greene and Vicki Lorist of this office. Subsequent to further conversations, a change of address was filed with the USPTO and Examiner Greene forwarded a copy of the final office action. A response to this action is enclosed herewith.

Enclosures: ☒ Fee Payment


☒ Reply

☐ Terminal Disclaimer Form

☒ Examiner's Interview Summary referenced in above statement establishing unintentional delay.

☐ Other: Statement Under 37 CFR 3.73 (b), and copies of four assignments.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Robyn Wagner  
Reg. No. 50,575

VAN PELT, YI & JAMES LLP  
10050 N. Foothill Blvd.  
Suite 200  
Cupertino, CA 95014  
(408) 973-2585